



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,014	03/10/2004	Tac-ahn Jahng	001227/1368	4949

69095 7590 06/22/2009  
STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, LLP  
180 MAIDEN LANE  
NEW YORK, NY 10038

EXAMINER
----------

HOFFMAN, MARY C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3733

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/798,014	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAHNG, TAE-AHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARY HOFFMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARY HOFFMAN. (3) GIUSEPPE MOLARO.

(2) JUDE PAGANELLI. (4) DENNIS BUTLER.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Model of the invention was shown.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leone (US 5488761), schwartz (US 5573520).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the previous office action and presented arguments regarding the rejections. The examiner indicated that she would be conferring with her SPE and would contact Applicant to further discuss the arguments. The examiner suggested that Applicant follow-up to the interview two weeks after filing the formal response..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	
--	--